

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,205	02/22/2005	Atsuhiro Saito	HOK-0258	7664
74384 7590 04/14/2009 Cheng Law Group, PLLC 1100 17th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL	
Suite 503 Washington, I	OC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7C 20000		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/525,205	SAITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON P. RIGGLEMAN	1792	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the	final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	LT CHECK BOX (0) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on white average been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than time greduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	W 07 OFB 44 07				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension in Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri 	or to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	ponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	d 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) M will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
5. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary are was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti 	me all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e states of the stating after entry to below of attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/:13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Michael Barr/	Jason P Riggleman				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Examiner Art Unit: 1792				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments and amendments, received 33/1/2009, have been received. The applicant has added new daim 10 (corresponding to the limitations in original claim 3). The applicant states that claim 8 is solely the invoint of the seven inventors who signed the Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 and which are the same seven inventors listed on Saito et al. (US Patent No. 7150285), used in the 102(e) rejection of claim 8, in an attempt to overcome the rejection. Mikhiro Yamashita, listed as one of the eight inventors of the current application — but not on the US Patent No. 7150285, is attributed as an inventor of claim 10, according to the applicant. Examiner states that the limitations of claim 10 are anticipated in (Column 6, Lines 52-57). The rejection of claim 8 is